

IPv6 Tutorial: Introduction, Background and Standardization Status

Jordi Palet (jordi.palet@consulintel.es)
Education, Promotion, Public Relations
and Awareness Working Group Co-Chair

IPv6 Forum

CEO/CTO, Consulintel

Consulintel
Consultores Integrales en Telecomunicaciones



Why a New IP?

Only *compelling* reason: more addresses!

- for billions of new devices,
e.g., cell phones, PDAs, appliances, cars, etc.
- for billions of new users,
e.g., in China, India, etc.
- for “always-on” access technologies,
e.g., xDSL, cable, ethernet-to-the-home, PLC,
etc.

But Isn't There Still Lots of IPv4 Address Space Left?

- ~ Half the IPv4 space is unallocated
 - if size of Internet is doubling each year, does this mean only one year's worth?!
- No, because today we deny unique IPv4 addresses to most new hosts
 - we make them use methods like NAT, PPP, etc. to share addresses
- But new types of applications and new types of access need unique addresses!

Why Are NAT's Not Adequate?

- They won't work for large numbers of “servers”, i.e., devices that are “called” by others (e.g., IP phones)
- They inhibit deployment of new applications and services
- They compromise the performance, robustness, security, and manageability of the Internet

Incidental Benefits of Bigger Addresses

- Easy address auto-configuration
- Easier address management/delegation
- Room for more levels of hierarchy, for route aggregation
- Ability to do end-to-end IPsec (because NATs not needed)

Incidental Benefits of New Deployment

- Chance to eliminate some complexity, e.g., in IP header
- Chance to upgrade functionality, e.g., multicast, QoS, mobility
- Chance to include new enabling features, e.g., binding updates

Summary of Main IPv6 Benefits

- Expanded addressing capabilities
- Server-less auto-configuration (“plug-n-play”) and reconfiguration
- More efficient and robust mobility mechanisms
- Built-in, strong IP-layer encryption and authentication
- Streamlined header format and flow identification
- Improved support for options / extensions

Why Was 128 Bits Chosen as the IPv6 Address Size?

- Some wanted fixed-length, 64-bit addresses
 - easily good for 10^{12} sites, 10^{15} nodes, at .0001 allocation efficiency (3 orders of mag. more than IPng requirement)
 - minimizes growth of per-packet header overhead
 - efficient for software processing
- Some wanted variable-length, up to 160 bits
 - compatible with OSI NSAP addressing plans
 - big enough for autoconfiguration using IEEE 802 addresses
 - could start with addresses shorter than 64 bits & grow later
- Settled on fixed-length, 128-bit addresses
 - (340,282,366,920,938,463,463,374,607,431,768,211,456 in all!)

What Ever Happened to IPv5?

0–3		unassigned
4	IPv4	(today's widespread version of IP)
5	ST	(Stream Protocol, not a new IP)
6	IPv6	(formerly SIP, SIPP)
7	CATNIP	(formerly IPv7, TP/IX; deprecated)
8	PIP	(deprecated)
9	TUBA	(deprecated)
10-15		unassigned

Standards

- Core IPv6 specifications are IETF Draft Standards
=> well-tested & stable
 - IPv6 base spec, ICMPv6, Neighbor Discovery, PMTU Discovery, IPv6-over-Ethernet, IPv6-over-PPP,...
- Other important specs are further behind on the standards track, but in good shape
 - mobile IPv6, header compression, ...
 - for up-to-date status: <http://playground.sun.com/ipng/html>
- UMTS R5 cellular wireless standards mandate IPv6
 - March 2002 ?

Implementations

- Most IP stack vendors have an implementation at some stage of completeness
 - some are shipping supported product today, e.g., *BSD(KAME), Cisco, Compaq, Ericsson Telebit, Hitachi, IBM, Nortel, Sun, Trumpet, Linux
 - others have beta releases now, supported products soon, e.g., HP
 - others rumored to be implementing, e.g., Apple, Bull, Juniper, Mentat, Novell, SGI
- Interoperability test:
 - good attendance at frequent testing events

Much Still To Do

Though IPv6 today has all the functional capability of IPv4,

- Implementations are not as advanced (e.g., with respect to performance, multicast support, compactness, instrumentation, etc.)
- Deployment has only just begun
- Much work to be done moving application, middleware, and management software to IPv6
- Much training work to be done (application developers, network administrators, sales staff,...)
- Many of the advanced features of IPv6 still need specification, implementation, and deployment work

IPv6 Forum

- A non-profit industrial association
- Mainly marketing activities to push the deployment
 - Lobby to industry, governments, ...
- Several Working Groups
 - IPv6 Summits, Dissemination, Training, ...
- No standards developed here
- Tech Directorate:
 - Technology, architecture, research and engineering center of expertise.